

### REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated January 13, 2005, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration based on the above amendments and following remarks. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance.

Claims 14-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Peltonen. This rejection is traversed for the following reasons.

Claim 14 recites "the audio server engine being responsive to a non telephone number feature code and a user identification code." As described in Applicant's specification, a non-telephone number feature code (e.g., \* followed by digits) is used to allow a user access to his/her own audio message storage. This is more convenient than dialing a complete telephone number to access one's voicemail.

Peltonen discloses dialing a full telephone number from a predefined menu in order to access the voicemail of a subscriber. As described in column 4, lines 31-67. In particular, column 4, lines 63-67 state that "All the caller needs to know is the normal mobile telephone number of the subscriber." Thus, Peltonen requires a telephone number to access a voice mail system. This is contrary to claim 14.

For at least the above reasons, claim 14 is patentable over Peltonen. Claims 15-18 variously depend from claim 14 and are patentable over Peltonen for at least the reasons advanced with reference to claim 14.

In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments, Applicant submits that the above-identified application is now in condition for allowance. Early notification to this effect is respectfully requested.

If there are any charges with respect to this response or otherwise, please charge them to Deposit Account 06-1130.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

David A. Fox  
Registration No. 38,807  
CANTOR COLBURN LLP  
55 Griffin Road South  
Bloomfield, CT 06002  
Telephone (860) 286-2929  
Facsimile (860) 286-0115  
Customer No. 36192

Date: April 12, 2005